

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/967,111	09/28/2001	Richard Francis Cormier	EMC01-11(01046)	EMC01-11(01046) 8094		
7590 11/08/2005		EXAMINER				
Barry W. Chapin, Esq.			HA, THANH T			
CHAPIN & HUANG, L.L.C. Westborough Office Park			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1700 West Park Drive			2194			
Westborough, I	MA 01581		DATE MAIL ED: 11/08/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/967,111	CORMIER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ha Thanh	2194		

	Ha Inann	2194	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	06.07(f).		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains the contain	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		mnliant Amendment	(DTOL 324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant Americinent	(1 1 OL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-35. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 		II be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
13. Other:			
	11/8/		
		Thanh Ha	
WILLI SUPERVIS	AM THOMSON PRY PATENT EXAMINER OLD CONTER 2100	Examiner Art Unit 2194	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior art clearly teaches all the limitation as claimed, all the limitations as argued are nevertheless being met and addressed in the previous final rejectin, dated 08/22/2005. Therefore, applicant's arguments are deemed not persuasive.